The reachability problem for Petri nets is not elementary

Wojciech Czerwiński Sławomir Lasota

Ranko Lazic

Jerome Leroux Filip Mazowiecki

University of Warsaw

University of Warwick

University of Bordeaux

RP'19, Brussels, 2019.09.11

The reachability problem for Petri nets is not elementary but the proof is so:)

Wojciech Czerwiński Sławomir Lasota

Ranko Lazic

Jerome Leroux Filip Mazowiecki

University of Warsaw

University of Warwick

University of Bordeaux

RP'19, Brussels, 2019.09.11

The reachability blem C crash course in counter blem C crash course in course in counter ble the proof is so:)

Wojciech Czerwiński Sławomir Lasota

Ranko Lazic

Jerome Leroux Filip Mazowiecki

University of Warsaw

University of Warwick

University of Bordeaux

RP'19, Brussels, 2019.09.11

- Petri nets [Petri 1962]
- vector addition systems VAS [Karp, Miller 1969]
- vector addition systems with states VASS [Hopcroft, Pansiot 1979]
- automata with counters without zero tests
- counter programs without zero tests
- multiset rewriting
- . . .

a sequence of commands of the form:

x += 1(increment counter x)x -= 1(decrement counter x)goto L or L'(jump to either line L or line L')zero? x(continue if counter x equals 0)

counters are nonnegative







a sequence of commands of the form:



2: goto 5 or 3

3:
$$x += 1$$
 $x' -= 1$ $y += 2$

- 4: **goto** 2
- 5: halt if x' = 0.







Minsky machines

the conditional jump of Minsky machines

if x = 0 then goto L else x - = 1

is simulated by counter program with zero tests:

```
goto 2 or 4
zero? x
goto L
x -= 1
```

- 1: x' += 100
- 2: **goto** 5 **or** 3
- 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 2
- 4: **goto** 2
- 5: halt if x' = 0.



- 1: x' += 100
- 2: **goto** 5 **or** 3
- 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 2
- 4: **goto** 2
- 5: halt if x' = 0.



- 1: x' += 100
- 2: **goto** 5 **or** 3
- 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 2
- 4: **goto** 2
- 5: halt if x' = 0.



- 1: x' += 100
- 2: **goto** 5 **or** 3
- 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 2
- 4: **goto** 2
- 5: halt if x' = 0.



- 1: x' += 100
- 2: **goto** 5 **or** 3
- 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 2
- 4: **goto** 2
- 5: halt if x' = 0.



- 1: x' += 100
- 2: **goto** 5 **or** 3
- 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 2
- 4: **goto** 2
- 5: halt if x' = 0.







Reachability and coverability

Reachability problem: given a counter program without zero tests

- 1: x' += 1002: goto 5 or 3 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 24: goto 2 5. h h if x' = 0
- 5: halt if x' = 0.

can it terminate (execute its halt command)?

Reachability and coverability

Reachability problem: given a counter program without zero tests

1: x' += 1002: goto 5 or 3 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 24: goto 2 5: halt if x' = 0.

can it terminate (execute its halt command)?

Coverability problem: given a counter program without zero tests

1: x' += 1002: goto 5 or 3 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 24: goto 2 5: halt.

can it terminate (reach its halt command)?

with trivial halt command

Reachability and coverability

Reachability problem: given a counter program without zero tests

1: x' += 1002: goto 5 or 3 3: x += 1 x' -= 1 y += 2 configuration 4: goto 2 5: halt if x' = 0.

can it terminate (execute its halt command)?

Coverability problem: given a counter program without zero tests

1: x' += 100with trivial halt command2: goto 5 or 33: x += 1x' -= 1y += 23: x += 1x' -= 1y += 24: goto 2control-state5: halt.reachability

can it terminate (reach its halt command)?






























$$TOWER(n) = \underbrace{2^{2^{2} \cdots^{2}}}_{n \text{ times}}$$

Theorem: The reachability problem for Petri nets is **TOWER-hard**

TOWER(n) =
$$2^{2^{2} \cdots^{2}}$$

 $n \text{ times}$

Theorem: The reachability problem for Petri nets is **TOWER-hard**

$$TOWER(n) = \underbrace{2^{2^{2^{\dots^2}}}}_{n \text{ times}}$$

Theorem: The reachability problem for Petri nets is **TOWER-hard**

Theorem: The reachability problem is **h-EXPSPACE-hard** for

• counter programs without zero tests with h+13 counters

$$TOWER(n) = \underbrace{2^{2^{2^{\dots^2}}}}_{n \text{ times}}$$

Theorem: The reachability problem for Petri nets is **TOWER-hard**

- counter programs without zero tests with h+13 counters
- VASS of dimension h+13

$$TOWER(n) = \underbrace{2^{2^{2^{\dots^2}}}}_{n \text{ times}}$$

Theorem: The reachability problem for Petri nets is **TOWER-hard**

- counter programs without zero tests with h+13 counters
- VASS of dimension h+13
- VAS of dimension h+16

$$TOWER(n) = \underbrace{2^{2^{2^{\dots^2}}}}_{n \text{ times}}$$

Theorem: The reachability problem for Petri nets is **TOWER-hard**

- counter programs without zero tests with h+13 counters
- VASS of dimension h+13
- VAS of dimension h+16
- Petri nets with h+16 places

Computing large numbers

[Mayr, Meyer 1981]: Petri net of size O(n) can weakly compute $Ackermann(n) = F_{\omega}(n) = F_n(n)$

Computing large numbers

[Mayr, Meyer 1981]: Petri net of size O(n) can weakly compute $Ackermann(n) = F_{\omega}(n) = F_n(n)$

[Lipton 1976]: Petri net of size $O(n^2)$ can exactly compute 2^{2^n}

Computing large numbers

[Mayr, Meyer 1981]: Petri net of size O(n) can weakly compute $Ackermann(n) = F_{\omega}(n) = F_n(n)$

[Lipton 1976]: Petri net of size $O(n^2)$ can exactly compute 2^{2^n}

We prove that Petri net of size O(n) can exactly compute TOWER(n)

Computing large numbers ... or long shortest runs

[Mayr, Meyer 1981]: Petri net of size O(n) can weakly compute $Ackermann(n) = F_{\omega}(n) = F_n(n)$

[Lipton 1976]: Petri net of size $O(n^2)$ can exactly compute 2^{2^n} has the shortest run of length 2^{2^n}

We prove that Petri net of size O(n) can exactly compute TOWER(n)

Computing large numbers ... or long shortest runs

[Mayr, Meyer 1981]: Petri net of size O(n) can weakly compute $Ackermann(n) = F_{\omega}(n) = F_n(n)$

[Lipton 1976]: Petri net of size $O(n^2)$ can exactly compute 2^{2^n} has the shortest run of length 2^{2^n}

We prove that Petri net of size O(n) can **exactly** compute TOWER(n)

has the **shortest** run of length TOWER(n)

Computing large numbers ... or long shortest runs

[Mayr, Meyer 1981]: Petri net of size O(n) can weakly compute

Ackermann(n) = $F_{\omega}(n)$ = $F_n(n)$

has the **longest** run of length *Ackermann*(n)

[Lipton 1976]: Petri net of size $O(n^2)$ can exactly compute 2^{2^n} has the shortest run of length 2^{2^n}

We prove that Petri net of size O(n) can exactly compute TOWER(n)

has the **shortest** run of length TOWER(n)

• proves reachability harder than coverability and henceforth refutes long-standing EXPSPACE-completness conjecture

- proves reachability harder than coverability and henceforth refutes long-standing EXPSPACE-completness conjecture
- plethora of problems admit reduction to/from reachability, e.g.:
 - non-emptiness of data automata
 - logics over data words
 - fragments of linear logic
 - process calculi
 - solvability of linear equations with ordered data

- proves reachability harder than coverability and henceforth refutes long-standing EXPSPACE-completness conjecture
- plethora of problems admit reduction to/from reachability, e.g.:
 - non-emptiness of data automata
 - logics over data words
 - fragments of linear logic
 - process calculi
 - solvability of linear equations with ordered data
- makes obsolete previously known TOWER lower bounds for:
 - branching VASS
 - pushdown VASS

let's embark on the proof...

Loop programs

1:
$$x' += 100$$

2: **goto** 5 **or** 3
3: $x += 1$ $x' -= 1$ $y += 2$
4: **goto** 2
5: **halt if** $x' = 0$.

Loop programs

1:
$$x' += 100$$

2: **goto** 5 **or** 3
3: $x += 1$ $x' -= 1$ $y += 2$
4: **goto** 2
5: halt if $x' = 0$.
1: $x' += 100$
2: **loop**
3: $x += 1$ $x' -= 1$ $y += 2$
4: halt if $x' = 0$.

• simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter machine with zero tests

- simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter machine with zero tests
- subroutine Dec_n that decrements a counter exactly 2^{2^n} times

- simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter machine with zero tests
- subroutine Dec_n that decrements a counter exactly 2^{2^n} times \checkmark

or aborts

- simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter machine with zero tests
- subroutine Dec_n that decrements a counter exactly 2^{2^n} times
- for every simulated counter introduce a shadow counter, initiate to

$$\mathbf{x} = 0 \qquad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 2^{2^n}$$

or aborts

- simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter machine with zero tests
- subroutine Dec_n that decrements a counter exactly 2^{2^n} times \checkmark
- for every simulated counter introduce a shadow counter, initiate to

or aborts

$$\mathsf{x} = 0 \qquad \hat{\mathsf{x}} = 2^{2^n}$$

• maintain invariant

$$\mathbf{x} + \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 2^{2^n}$$

- simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter machine with zero tests
- subroutine Dec_n that decrements a counter exactly 2^{2^n} times
- for every simulated counter introduce a shadow counter, initiate to

or aborts

$$\mathsf{x} = 0 \qquad \hat{\mathsf{x}} = 2^{2^n}$$

• maintain invariant

$$\mathbf{x} + \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 2^{2^n}$$

• zero test: $\mathbf{Dec}_n \ \hat{\mathsf{x}} \quad \mathbf{Dec}_n \ \mathsf{x}$

- simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter machine with zero tests
- subroutine Dec_n that decrements a counter exactly 2^{2^n} times
- for every simulated counter introduce a shadow counter, initiate to

or aborts

$$\mathsf{x} = 0 \qquad \hat{\mathsf{x}} = 2^{2^n}$$

• maintain invariant

$$\mathbf{x} + \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 2^{2^n}$$

• zero test: $\mathbf{Dec}_n \ \hat{\mathsf{x}} \quad \mathbf{Dec}_n \ \mathsf{x}$

• how to implement \mathbf{Dec}_n ?

Implementation of Dec_n :

Implementation of Dec_n :

• iterated squaring

$$\underbrace{((2^2)^2 \dots)^2}_{((2^2)^2 \dots)^2} = 2^{2 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot 2} = 2^{2^n}$$

Implementation of \mathbf{Dec}_n :

• iterated squaring

$$\underbrace{((2^2)^2 \dots)^2}_{((2^2)^2 \dots)^2} = 2^{2 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot 2} = 2^{2^n}$$

• subroutine $\mathbf{Dec}_i \times_i$ that decrements \times_i exactly 2^{2^i} times, $i = 1 \dots n$ or aborts Implementation of \mathbf{Dec}_n :

• iterated squaring

• subroutine
$$\underline{\operatorname{Dec}}_{i}^{n \text{ times}} = 2^{2 \cdot 2 \cdot \ldots \cdot 2} = 2^{2^{n}}$$

• subroutine $\underline{\operatorname{Dec}}_{i}^{n \text{ times}}_{i}$ that decrements X_{i} exactly $2^{2^{i}}$ times, $i = 1 \dots n$
or aborts

• the code of $\mathbf{Dec}_{i+1} \hat{\mathsf{x}}_{i+1}$:



• key idea: compute exactly 2^{2^n} due to **iterated squaring**:


• key idea: compute exactly 2^{2^n} due to **iterated squaring**:



• simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter program with zero tests

• key idea: compute exactly 2^{2^n} due to **iterated squaring**:



• simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter program with zero tests

TOWER lower bound for reachability

• key idea: compute exactly 2^{2^n} due to **iterated squaring**:



• simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter program with zero tests

TOWER lower bound for reachability

key idea: compute a pair of numbers with ratio 3!ⁿ due to
 iterated factorial:

$$3!^n = \underbrace{((3!)!\ldots)!}^{n \text{ times}}$$

• key idea: compute exactly 2^{2^n} due to **iterated squaring**:



• simulation of 2^{2^n} -bounded counter program with zero tests

TOWER lower bound for reachability

key idea: compute a pair of numbers with ratio 3!ⁿ due to
 iterated factorial:

$$3!^n = \underbrace{((3!)!\ldots)!}^{n \text{ times}}$$

• simulation of $3!^n$ -bounded counter program with zero tests

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathsf{b} = R \quad \mathsf{c} > 0 \quad \mathsf{d} = \mathsf{c} \cdot R$$

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$b = R$$
 $c > 0$ $d = c \cdot R$ ratio R

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

loop

$$x += 1$$
 $\hat{x} -= 1$
 $d -= 1$
 $c -= 1$.

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

$$x + \hat{x} \le R \text{ and } d \ge c \cdot R$$

loop
$$x += 1 \quad \hat{x} -= 1$$

$$d -= 1$$

$$c -= 1.$$

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R$$
 ratio R

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{x} + \hat{\mathsf{x}} \leq R \text{ and } \mathsf{d} \geq \mathsf{c} \cdot R \\ \hline \mathsf{loop} \\ \mathsf{x} + = 1 \quad \hat{\mathsf{x}} - = 1 \\ \mathsf{d} - = 1 \\ \mathsf{c} - = 1. \end{array} \right\} \text{ at most } R \text{ iterations}$$

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

$$x + \hat{x} \le R \text{ and } d \ge c \cdot R$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ x += 1 \\ d -= 1 \\ c -= 1. \end{array} \quad \hat{x} -= 1 \end{array} \qquad \text{at most } R \text{ iterations}$$

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

The idea:

 $x + \hat{x} \le R \text{ and } d \ge c \cdot R \text{ forward invariant}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ x +=1 \\ d -=1 \\ c -=1. \end{array} \quad \hat{x} -=1 \end{array}$ at most *R* iterations

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

The idea:

 $x + \hat{x} \le R \text{ and } d \ge c \cdot R \text{ forward invariant}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ x +=1 \\ d -=1 \\ c -=1. \end{array} \quad \hat{x} -=1 \\ d = c \cdot R \end{array}$ at most *R* iterations

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

The idea:

 $x + \hat{x} \le R \text{ and } d \ge c \cdot R \text{ forward invariant}$ $\begin{vmatrix} \text{loop} \\ x += 1 & \hat{x} -= 1 \\ d -= 1 \\ c -= 1. \end{vmatrix} \text{ at most } R \text{ iterations}$ $d = c \cdot R$ implied by halt if ..., d = 0.

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

The idea:

 $x + \hat{x} \le R \text{ and } d \ge c \cdot R \text{ forward invariant}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ x += 1 & \hat{x} -= 1 \\ d -= 1 \\ c -= 1. \end{array} \quad \text{exactly at most } R \text{ iterations}$ $d = c \cdot R$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{implied by halt if } \dots, d = 0. \end{array}$

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

The idea:

 $x + \hat{x} \le R \text{ and } d \ge c \cdot R \text{ forward invariant}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ x + = 1 \\ d - = 1 \\ c - = 1. \end{array} \quad \hat{x} - = 1 \\ d = c \cdot R \text{ backward invariant} \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{implied by halt if } \dots, d = 0. \end{array}$

Let R - fixed positive integer.

Suppose some 3 counters b, c, d are initially set nondeterministically to:

$$\mathbf{b} = R \quad \mathbf{c} > 0 \quad \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} \cdot R \quad \text{ratio } R$$

How to simulate *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests?

The idea: $x + \hat{x} \le R$ and $d > c \cdot R$ forward invariant $x + \hat{x} \le R$ and $d \ge c \cdot R$ forward invariant $\begin{vmatrix} loop \\ x +=1 \\ d -=1 \\ c -=1. \end{vmatrix}$ exactly at most R iterations $d = c \cdot R$ backward invariant implied by halt if ..., d = 0.

loop

$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$... (only for zero-tested counters)
 d -= 1 b -= 1
c -= 1

• introduce shadow counters and initiate them to at most *R*:

loop

$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$... (only for zero-tested counters)
 d -= 1 b -= 1
c -= 1

• $x \rightarrow 1$ replace by $x \rightarrow 1$ $\hat{x} \rightarrow 1$

loop

$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$ (only for zero-tested counters)
 d -= 1 b -= 1
c -= 1

- $x \rightarrow 1$ replace by $x \rightarrow 1$ $\hat{x} \rightarrow 1$
- x = 1 replace by x = 1 $\hat{x} = 1$

loop

$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$... (only for zero-tested counters)
 $d -= 1$ $b -= 1$
 $c -= 1$

•
$$x \neq 1$$
 replace by $x \neq 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$

- x = 1 replace by x = 1 $\hat{x} = 1$
- zero? x replace by loop x += 1 $\hat{x} -= 1$ d -= 1 c -= 1loop x -= 1 $\hat{x} += 1$ d -= 1 c -= 1 d -= 1c -= 1

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{loop} \\ \hat{x} += 1 & \hat{y} += 1 & \cdots \\ \textbf{d} -= 1 & \textbf{b} -= 1 \\ \textbf{c} -= 1 & \textbf{b} \end{array} (only \text{ for zero-tested counters})$$

•
$$x \neq 1$$
 replace by $x \neq 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$

- x = 1 replace by x = 1 $\hat{x} = 1$
- zero? x replace by loop x += 1 $\hat{x} -= 1$ d -= 1 c -= 1loop x -= 1 $\hat{x} += 1$ d -= 1c -= 1

• introduce shadow counters and initiate them to at most *R*:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{loop} \\ \hat{x} += 1 & \hat{y} += 1 & \cdots \\ \textbf{d} -= 1 & \textbf{b} -= 1 \\ \textbf{c} -= 1 & \textbf{c} \end{array} \text{ (only for zero-tested counters)}$$

•
$$x \rightarrow 1$$
 replace by $x \rightarrow 1$ $\hat{x} \rightarrow 1$

•
$$x = 1$$
 replace by $x = 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$

loop

$$x += 1$$
 $\hat{x} -= 1$
 $d -= 1$
 $c -= 1$
loop
 $x -= 1$ $\hat{x} += 1$
 $d -= 1$
 $c -= 1$

forward invariant $x + \hat{x} \le R$ and $d \ge c \cdot R$

• introduce shadow counters and initiate them to at most *R*:

loop

$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$... (only for zero-tested counters)
d -= 1
c -= 1

•
$$x \neq 1$$
 replace by $x \neq 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$

•
$$x = 1$$
 replace by $x = 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$

zero? x replace by
$$\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ x += 1 & \hat{x} -= 1 \\ d -= 1 \\ c -= 1 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ x -= 1 \\ \hat{x} += 1 \\ d -= 1 \\ d -= 1 \\ \end{array}$$

• extend halt: halt if \ldots , d = 0.

forward invariant $x + \hat{x} \le R$ and $d \ge c \cdot R$

• introduce shadow counters and initiate them to at most *R*:

loop

$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$... (only for zero-tested counters)
d -= 1
c -= 1

•
$$x \rightarrow 1$$
 replace by $x \rightarrow 1$ $\hat{x} \rightarrow 1$

•
$$x = 1$$
 replace by $x = 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$

zero? x replace by loop

$$x += 1$$
 $\hat{x} -= 1$
 $d -= 1$
 $c -= 1$
loop
 $x -= 1$ $\hat{x} += 1$
 $d -= 1$
 $d -= 1$

• extend halt: halt if \ldots , d = 0.

forward invariant $x + \hat{x} \le R$ and $d \ge c \cdot R$

backward invariant $d = c \cdot R$

• introduce shadow counters and initiate them to at most *R*:

loop
$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$ $d -= 1$ $b -= 1$ $c -= 1$

- $x \neq 1$ replace by $x \neq 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$
- x = 1 replace by x = 1 $\hat{x} = 1$
 - **zero?** x replace by loop $x + \hat{x} \le R$ and $d \ge c \cdot R$ x + = 1 $\hat{x} - = 1$ exactly *R* iterations

$$d = 1$$

$$c = 1$$

$$loop$$

$$x = 1$$

$$\hat{x} + 1$$
exactly *R* iterations
$$d = 1$$

$$c = 1$$
backward invariant

forward invariant

 $\mathsf{d}=\mathsf{c}\cdot R$

• extend halt: halt if \ldots , d = 0.

• introduce shadow counters and initiate them to at most *R*:

loop
$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$ $d -= 1$ $b -= 1$ $c -= 1$

forward invariant

 $\mathsf{d} = \mathsf{c} \cdot R$

- $x \neq 1$ replace by $x \neq 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$
- x = 1 replace by x = 1 $\hat{x} = 1$
- **zero?** x replace by x + = 1 $\hat{x} = 1$ $\hat{x} = 1$ exactly *R* iterations d - = 1 $\hat{x} + = 1$ $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations d - = 1 $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations d - = 1 $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations d - = 1 $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations d - = 1 $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations d - = 1 $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations d - = 1 $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations $\hat{x} - = 1$ $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations $\hat{x} - = 1$ $\hat{x} + = 1$ exactly *R* iterations
- extend halt: halt if \dots , d = 0.

• introduce shadow counters and initiate them to at most *R*:

loop
$$\hat{x} += 1$$
 $\hat{y} += 1$ $d -= 1$ $b -= 1$ $c -= 1$

forward invariant

- $x \rightarrow 1$ replace by $x \rightarrow 1$ $\hat{x} \rightarrow 1$
- x = 1 replace by x = 1 $\hat{x} = 1$
- zero? x replace by x + = 1 $\hat{x} = 1$ d - = 1 c - = 1 $\log p$ $x + \hat{x} = R$ and $d \ge c \cdot R$ exactly *R* iterations d - = 1 c - = 1 d - = 1 c - = 1 d - = 1 c - = 1 d - = 1 c - = 1 d - = 1 c - = 1 d - = 1 d - = 1 c - = 1 d - = 1 $d - = c \cdot R$



ADD

AD P-*R*-bounded counter program with zero tests which is simulated using ratio R

AD P-

counter program **without zero tests** that computes ratio *R*

R-bounded counter program with zero tests which is simulated using ratio *R*

counter program without zero tests counter program without zero tests *R*-bounded counter program with zero tests that computes ratio Rwhich is simulated using ratio R

18


How to compute ratio?



How to compute ratio?

$$3!^n = \underbrace{((3!)!\ldots)!}^{n \text{ times}}$$

• ratio 3:

1:
$$b += 3$$

2: $c += 1$ $d += 3$
3: loop
4: $c += 1$ $d += 3$
5: halt.

How to compute ratio?



ratio 3:
1: b += 3
2: c += 1

- 2: c += 1 d += 3
 3: loop
 4: c += 1 d += 3
 5: halt.
- we define a counter program that, using ratio R, factorial amplifier computes ratio R!





$$\frac{2}{1} \quad \cdot \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad \cdot \quad \dots \quad \cdot \quad \frac{R}{R-1} \quad = \quad R$$

a zero

counter program that, using ratio *R*, computes ratio *R*!

R

=

$$\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{R}{R-1}$$

1: i += 1 x += 1 y += 1
2: loop
3: x += 1 y += 1
4: loop
5: loop
6: x -= i x' += i + 1
7: loop
8: x' -= 1 x += 1
10: zero? i
11: loop
12: x -= i y -= 1
13: halt if y = 0

counter program that, using ratio *R*, computes ratio *R*!

R

=

$$\frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{R}{R-1}$$

1: i += 1 x += 1 y += 1
2: loop
3: x += 1 y += 1
4: loop
5: loop
6: x -= i x' += i + 1
7: loop
8: x' -= 1 x += 1
a zero test
9: i += 1
10: zero? i
11: loop
12: x -= i y -= 1
13: halt if y = 0



counter program that, using ratio *R*, computes ratio *R*!



20















1:
$$i + = 1$$
 $x + = 1$ $y + = 1$ $b + = 1$ $c + = 1$ $d + = 1$
2: loop
3: $x + = 1$ $y + = 1$ $c + = 1$ $d + = 1$
4: loop
5: loop
6: $c -= i$ $c' + = 1$
7: loop at most b times
8: $x -= i$ $d -= i$ $x' + = i + 1$
9: loop
10: $b -= 1$ $b' + = i + 1$
11: loop
12: $b' -= 1$ $b + = 1$
13: loop
14: $c' -= 1$ $c + = 1$
15: loop at most b times
16: $x' -= 1$ $x + = 1$ $d + = 1$
17: $i + = 1$
18: zero? \hat{i}
19: loop
20: $x -= i$ $y -= 1$
21: halt if $y = 0$

counter program that, using Factorial amplifier b = R! c > 0 $d = c \cdot R!$ ratio R, computes ratio R! invariant 1: i += 1 x += 1 y += 1 b += 1 c += 1 d += 1 $d = c \cdot b$ 2: **loop** 3: x += 1 y += 1 c += 1 d += 1loop b = 1 b' + 14: **loop** loop loop 5:b' = 1 b + = 1c = i c' + 16: < body >loop at most b times ***** 7: x = i d = i x' + i + 18: loop 9: b = 1 b' + i + 110: loop 11: b' = 1 b + = 112: loop 13: c' = 1 c + = 114:loop at most b times 15:x' = 1 x + 1 d + 116: 17: i += 118: **zero?** i 19: **loop** 20: $x = i \quad y = 1$ 21: halt if y = 0

counter program that, using Factorial amplifier b = R! c > 0 $d = c \cdot R!$ ratio R, computes ratio R! invariant 1: i += 1 x += 1 y += 1 b += 1 c += 1 d += 1 $d = c \cdot b$ nondeterministic init 2: **loop** x += 1 y += 1 c += 1 d += 13: loop $b = 1 \quad b' = 1$ 4: **loop** loop loop 5:b' = 1 b + = 1c = i c' + 16: < body >loop at most b times 7: x = i d = i x' + i + 18: loop 9: b = 1 b' + i + 110: loop 11: b' = 1 b + = 112: loop 13: c' = 1 c + = 114:loop at most b times 15:x' = 1 x + 1 d + 116:17: i += 118: **zero?** i 19: **loop** 20: $x = i \quad y = 1$ 21: halt if y = 0

counter program that, using Factorial amplifier b = R! c > 0 $d = c \cdot R!$ ratio R, computes ratio R! invariant 1: i += 1 x += 1 y += 1 b += 1 c += 1 d += 1 $\mathsf{d} = \mathsf{c} \cdot \mathsf{b}$ nondeterministic init 2: **loop** x += 1 y += 1 c += 1 d += 13: loop $b = 1 \quad b' = 1$ 4: **loop** loop loop 5:b' = 1 b += 1c = i c' + 16: < body >loop at most b times 🗲 7: x = i d = i x' = i + 1 weak multiplication by $\frac{i+1}{i}$ 8: loop 9: b = 1 b' += i + 110: loop 11: b' = 1 b + = 112: loop 13: c' = 1 c + = 114: loop at most b times 15:x' = 1 x + = 1 d + = 116: i += 117:18: **zero?** i 19: **loop** 20: $x = i \quad y = 1$ 21: halt if y = 0

counter program that, using Factorial amplifier b = R! c > 0 $d = c \cdot R!$ ratio R, computes ratio R! invariant 1: i += 1 x += 1 y += 1 b += 1 c += 1 d += 1 $\mathsf{d} = \mathsf{c} \cdot \mathsf{b}$ nondeterministic init 2: **loop** x += 1 y += 1 c += 1 d += 13: loop $b = 1 \quad b' = 1$ 4: **loop** loop loop 5:b' = 1 b += 1c = i c' + 16: < body >loop at most b times 7: x = i d = i x' = i + 1 weak multiplication by $\frac{i+1}{i}$ 8: loop 9: b = 1 b' += i + 110: loop 11: b' = 1 b + = 112: loop 13: c' = 1 c + = 114: loop at most b times 15:x' = 1 x + = 1 d + = 116: i += 117:18: **zero?** i 19: **loop** 20: x = i y = 121: **halt if** y = 0tests if $x \ge y \cdot R$

counter program that, using Factorial amplifier b = R! c > 0 $d = c \cdot R!$ ratio R, computes ratio R! invariant 1: i += 1 x += 1 y += 1 b += 1 c += 1 d += 1 $\mathsf{d} = \mathsf{c} \cdot \mathsf{b}$ nondeterministic init 2: **loop** x += 1 y += 1 c += 1 d += 13: loop $b = 1 \quad b' = 1$ 4: **loop** loop loop 5:b' = 1 b += 1c = i c' + 16: < body >loop at most b times 🗲 7: op at most b times x = i d = i x' + = i + 1 exact weak multiplication by $\frac{i+1}{i}$ 8: loop 9: b = 1 b' += i + 110: loop 11: b' = 1 b + = 112: loop 13: c' = 1 c + = 114: loop at most b times 15:x' = 1 x + = 1 d + = 116: i += 117:18: **zero?** i 19: **loop** 20: x = i y = 121: **halt if** y = 0

counter program that, using Factorial amplifier b = R! c > 0 $d = c \cdot R!$ ratio R, computes ratio R! invariant 1: i += 1 x += 1 y += 1 b += 1 c += 1 d += 1 $\mathsf{d} = \mathsf{c} \cdot \mathsf{b}$ nondeterministic init 2: **loop** x += 1 y += 1 c += 1 d += 13: loop $b = 1 \quad b' = 1$ 4: **loop** loop weak division by j loop 5:b' = 1 b += 1c = i c' + 16: < body >loop at most b times 7: op at most b times x = i d = i x' + = i + 1 x = i d = i x' + = i + 1 x = i x' = i + 1 x = i x' = i + 18: loop 9: b = 1 b' + i + 110: loop 11: b' = 1 b + = 112: loop 13: c' = 1 c + = 114: loop at most b times 15:x' = 1 x + 1 d + 116: i += 117:18: **zero?** i 19: **loop** x = i y = 120: 21: **halt if** y = 0tests if $x \ge y \cdot R$









• TOWER...ACKERMANN gap

• TOWER...ACKERMANN gap

F3...F ω gap

• improving the lower bound? TOWER amplifier?

• TOWER...ACKERMANN gap

- improving the lower bound? TOWER amplifier?
- better lower bounds for
 - branching VASS
 - pushdown VASS
 - VASS with 1 zero test
 - VASS with hierarchical zero tests

• TOWER...ACKERMANN gap

- improving the lower bound? TOWER amplifier?
- better lower bounds for
 - branching VASS
 - pushdown VASS
 - VASS with 1 zero test
 - VASS with hierarchical zero tests
- refined analysis for fixed dimension

• TOWER...ACKERMANN gap

- improving the lower bound? TOWER amplifier?
- better lower bounds for
 - branching VASS
 - pushdown VASS
 - VASS with 1 zero test
 - VASS with hierarchical zero tests
- refined analysis for fixed dimension
- decidability status of reachability is open for
 - branching VASS
 - pushdown VASS
 - equality data VASS

• TOWER...ACKERMANN gap

F3...F_{\u03c0} gap

- improving the lower bound? TOWER amplifier?
- better lower bounds for
 - branching VASS
 - pushdown VASS
 - VASS with 1 zero test
 - VASS with hierarchical zero tests
- refined analysis for fixed dimension
- ank you. decidability status of reachability is open
 - branching VASS
 - pushdown VASS
 - equality data VASS